2022 9% Tax Credit Program Policy Amendments

Existing policy	Amended policy
3.2.5 Supportive Housing for the	The Commission will allow Metro projects seeking to serve a lower percentage of Supportive Housing for the Homeless (PSH) population to continue to achieve the
Homeless	maximum points for this category (see Section 6 below). If a Metro project wishes to use
Tiomeress	a higher TDC limit they will need to serve at least 50% of their units as Homeless to bump
	up to the next higher TDC limit.
3.2.7 Total	See below.
Development	
Cost Unit Limit	
Schedule	
(updated limits)	
3.4 Maximum	See below.
Annual Credit	
Per Low-Income	
Housing Unit –	
WAC 262-01-	
130(8)(c) 5.2.2	Matra Daal Allocation Mathadalamy
Geographic	Metro Pool Allocation Methodology
Credit Pools	Each county in the Metro Geographic Pool will be allocated 9% Tax Credits based on the
(below is the	following formula:
proposed	Base Allocation of \$1,000,000 per county.
language and	Additional Allocation based upon need as calculated by the most current CHAS
changes to the	housing needs data available (rounded to the nearest \$100,000).
Metro Pool	(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Allocation.)	Anticipate that each county will receive an allocation of approximately \$1.2M to \$1.7M.
	This methodology will remain in place over a five-year period and a rolling average
	reconciled at year five for each county will be done. Additional stakeholder engagement
	if the expiring additional 12.5% per capita credit is extended will be considered. Should
	significant program changes be enacted the Commission reserves the right to implement
	appropriate changes with input from program stakeholders.
	As a part of this allocation process, each county shall develop or modify current
	processes to select one prioritized project per year. The county's prioritization process
	shall be submitted to the Commission. As a part of this process, each county shall
	designate a contact person(s) that is familiar with the process and understands the local
	pipeline and prioritization structure. It is expected that the key public funders,
	affordable housing development consortia and the development community will work
	together to establish a pipeline and prioritize pending projects to be brought forward
	each county's portion of the allocation. Proposed projects will need to participate in the
	local designated process t be eligible for the per county 9% Tax Credit allocation.

5.2.9	In view of the change to increase the Credit Per Unit policy, we are changing the						
Tiebreakers	Tiebreaker. The new language reads as follows:						
	If projects receive equal scores within the same Geographic Credit Pool the first tie breaker will be if a project is in a Qualified Census Tract (QCT). The second tie breaker will be to the project that request the least amount of Credit. The third tie breaker will be to the project that request the least amount of Credit per Low-Income Housing Unit.						
	This policy change is more in line with the new policy allowing more Credit Per Bedroom for 3- & 4-bedroom units being allow a credit "boost".						
Chapter 6: Allocation	The Commission is proposing changes to the minimum score per Pool. The changes are:						
Criteria	King County: 164						
	Metro Counties: 158						
	Non-Metro Counties: 154						
	The Commission, in consultation with Stakeholders is lowering the Homeless threshold to achieve maximum points for Metro Homeless PSH projects from 50% to 25%. Please note that if a Metro PSH project wishes to "bump up" their TDC they will still need to do 50% of the units as Homeless PSH (see Section 3.2.5 Supportive Housing for the Homeless.						
6.3.1 Housing	Points will be awarded based on the Applicant's Commitment to						
for the	provide low-income housing units for Homeless households (the						
Homeless	"Housing for the Homeless Commitment").						
	In providing Housing for the Homeless, the Applicant may select only one of these options:						
	 Permanent Supportive Housing for the Homeless: Metro Geographic Pool – 25 Points All other Geographic Pools – 35 Points 						
	20% Permanent Housing for the Homeless – 10 Points 20% Transitional Housing for the Homeless – 10 Points						
	 20% Transitional Housing for the Homeless – 10 Points 						
	Each unit must be set-aside to serve Homeless households as defined under the Stewart B. McKinney Homeless Assistance Act or under RCW 43.185c010(3) and must provide supportive services designed to promote self-sufficiency, meeting the needs of the target population. Any Household initially qualifying as Homeless counts toward the Homeless Set-Aside Commitment for as long as the household remains in the project.						

8.1 Compliance Training: (Changes to required Compliance Training.)

The Applicant and The Applicant's property management representative must attend a Commission Tax Credit Compliance Workshop or receive equivalent training by a Commission Portfolio Analyst compliance officer by the earlier of:

- at least 120 days before the first building is placed-in service or
- prior to commencement of initial rent-up activities for the project. Certificates cannot be more than 18 months old by placed-in-service date.

An Applicant's 3rd party property management representative must attend compliance training. We are no longer requiring the Applicant to attend training unless they are self-managing.

Race & Equity Questionnaire

The Commission is seeking a better understanding on how our Stakeholders and Partners are working to create a more equal society. We are asking questions of our Stakeholders to better understand the impacts of our past policies and how we should evolve to make Diversity, Equity & Inclusion a major component in our programs. Below is the questionnaire which can also be found on our website at http://www.wshfc.org/mhcf/9percent/2021PreapprovalRequests/RSJQuestionnaire.pdf. Race, Social Justice and Equity

Black, Indigenous and People of Color (BIPOC) disproportionately experience housing instability because of institutional racism.

To help the Commission understand what applicants may already be doing to address these inequities, please answer the following questions:

- 1. Are there specific steps your organization has taken to address institutionalized racism or promote racial equity (e.g., through a strategic planning process, administration of a Race and Social Justice toolkit, leadership, or board training, etc.)? Please describe.
- 2. Are there specific aspects of the proposed project that address institutionalized racism or further race and social equity in Washington State, including but not limited to the project location, target population(s), screening criteria and outreach and marketing efforts? Please describe.
 - Further, WSHFC is considering additional training for property managers to our placed-in-service requirement around racial equity and social justice.
- 3. What training (if any) is already in place for your property managers or for the property management company you are proposing for this application? If so, please describe. If you are not currently requiring any training but are considering training, please describe this as well.
 - Note: your answers do not have a bearing on our approval of your application it is for our informational purposes only but could lead to policy or training requirements in the future.

3.2.7 Total Development Cost Unit Limit Schedule (updated limits)

Area/Bedroom	Studio	One Bedroom	Two Bedroom	Three Bedroom	Four + Bedroom
2022 King/Seattle*	\$317,700	\$367,800	\$390,800	\$438,300	\$482,800
2022 Pierce/Snohomish/Clark*	\$305,800	\$356,700	\$377,800	\$425,100	\$468,300
2022 Metro*	\$274,200	\$309,300	\$338,500	\$390,600	\$430,200
2022 Balance of State^	\$193,300	\$217,700	\$246,700	\$320,500	\$352,700

^{*8%} Increase

3.4 Maximum Annual Credit Per Low-Income Housing Unit – WAC 262-01-130(8)(c)

For the first time the Commission is moving to a variable Credit Per Unit to better align the costs to develop and the credit resources needed to fill those costs. Below are the recommended limits per "Bedroom".

Area/Bedroom	Studio	One Bedroom	Two Bedroom	Three Bedroom	Four + Bedroom
Seattle/King	\$27,640	\$27,640	\$27,640	\$31,000	\$34,500
Pierce/Snohomish/Clark	\$27,640	\$27,640	\$27,640	\$30,000	\$33,000
Metro	\$27,640	\$27,640	\$27,640	\$29,500	\$31,000
Balance of State	\$27,640	\$27,640	\$27,640	\$29,000	\$30,000

^{^5%} Increase

Total Development Cost

King/Seattle	\$311,900	\$361,000	\$383,600	\$432,500	\$476,100
Pierce / Snohomish / Clark	\$300,200	\$350,200	\$370,800	\$419,600	\$461,800
Metro (Thurston, Spokane & Whatcom)	\$261,500	\$303,600	\$332,200	\$385,900	\$424,200
Balance of State	\$189,700	\$213,600	\$242,100	\$318,000	\$347,600